



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,692	12/29/2003	Arieh Don	07072-159001	2149
<div>26161 7590 07/31/2007</div> <div>FISH & RICHARDSON PC</div> <div>P.O. BOX 1022</div> <div>MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022</div>				
			<div>EXAMINER</div> <div>ROSE, HELENE ROBERTA</div>	
			<div>ART UNIT</div> <div>2163</div>	<div>PAPER NUMBER</div>
			<div>MAIL DATE</div> <div>07/31/2007</div>	<div>DELIVERY MODE</div> <div>PAPER</div>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/749,692	DON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Helene Rose	2163	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Helene Rose. (3) _____

(2) Faustino A. Lichauco. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 26 July 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: Claims 1 and 9.

Identification of prior art discussed: Kedem et al (US Patent No. 6,363,385).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner held an interview with the attorney of record (Faustino) regarding the present application. The attorney of record (Faustino) explained the overall novelty of the invention as it relates to the Request for Continued Examination (RCE) and how the newly revised claims distinguishes from the prior art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required